

February 25, 1974

to get stricken from the bill. I don't care about the rest of the bill. The rest of the bill is fine. If that's what Terry wants..what Senator Carpenter wants. But I don't feel that the Eastern third of the State of Nebraska should have to pay a mandatory transportation charge, which would help offset the transportation charges for the west third of the state. And it's for that reason I move the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR CARPENTER: I rise to oppose this. Now Senator Goodrich is still informed. He's trying to protect a few hogs in the city of Omaha. That's just that way. He's in favor of special... He's doing it not because he believes it, because these hogs have stimulated him to action. This is the heart of the bill. What it says that these products will be sold at the same price f.o.b. whether it's Scottsbluff or Omaha. That's the way it ought to be. And I would say that 80 or 90% of all the retailers in Omaha are in favor of this provision. Only a few of the hogs want to snoot around again in order to disrupt the very thing we people outstate are trying to apply. Now Senator Goodrich, a \$1.50 as you know is just used to prepay these products through out the state, and what you say is true. The people in Omaha are now paying about 75¢ a case. In this case they will pay more because somebody has to pay more in order to pay the bill to ship it all over the state and equalize the freight. And I...if you don't want just say...if you get this amendment out. you just might as well kill the bill. You've got nothing left. And Senator Goodrich knows that, and that's what he's trying to do.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator DeCamp, and then Senator Waldron and then Senator Cavanaugh. Senator DeCamp has the floor.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, and members of the Legislature. I had a bill doing exactly what Senator Carpenter's amendment here does, and I withdrew the bill going in favor of the amendment in this bill, and like Senator Carpenter says it would strip the bill of all it's affectiveness. When Senator Goodrich is willing to go along with some other things, and I'd be happy to abandon the idea of having the fixed delivery cost at all places. And some of the things I would ask him to go along with then, are allowing some of the people in outstate Nebraska to be able to buy liquor somewhere other than Omaha with six guaranteed monopoly wholesalers. We have to buy there, that's the only place you can buy it if you live anyplace outside of Omaha. You have to go to Omaha to buy your liquor. They have a guaranteed bill that guarantees them collection. They have their own state collection agency. And so when he's willing to have some other fairness in the liquor laws, then maybe we can eliminate some of the equalizers such as this. But until such time, we need this balance. Now you can argue, well then why don't we have the same delivery charge combines and bread and everything else. And the answer is very simple. Because they are not the same as liquor. Liquor is very tightly regulated and controlled by the state. By a Liquor Commission. You pay a very high fee to get that liquor license, and therefore, the State has the right and the duty to do everything it can to make sure the liquor laws are enforced, and as it says in the liquor laws itself, to provide temperance. And when you create a condition where a liquor establishment outstate has to operate in a marginal way, which means they start doing things such as selling to minors and other things. Then you create the condition of violation of the Liquor Law. And you